UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

In re: BAIR HUGGER FORCED AIR WARMING DEVICES PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION MDL No. 15-2666 (JNE/DTS)

This Document Relates to:

Case Nos.:

17-cv-00088 (Malinski v. 3M Co., et al.)

18-cv-00220 (Brasher v. 3M Co., et al.)

18-cv-00575 (Ballasso v. 3M Co., et al.)

18-cv-00852 (Villafranco v. 3M Co., et al.)

18-cv-01009 (Woelfel v. 3M Co., et al.)

18-cv-01031 (Rhock v. 3M Co., et al.)

18-cv-01051 (Johnson, Alvin v. 3M Co.)

18-cv-01103 (*Tedford v. 3M Co.*)

18-cv-01167 (Eans v. 3M Co.)

18-cv-01169 (*Gregory v. 3M Co.*)

18-cv-01542 (Davis v. 3M Co., et al.)

18-cv-01654 (Terrell v. 3M Co., et al.)

18-cv-01722 (Woodard v. 3M Co., et al.)

18-cv-01724 (Cooper v. 3M Co., et al.)

10-CV-01724 (Cooper v. 3M Co., et al.)

18-cv-02014 (Johnson, Sheri v. 3M Co., et al.)

18-cv-02065 (Sullivan v. 3M Co., et al.)

18-cv-02067 (Norton v. 3M Co., et al.)

18-cv-02080 (McGuire v. 3M Co., et al.)

18-cv-02082 (English v. 3M Co., et al.)

18-cv-02087 (Dimesa v. 3M Co., et al.)

18-cv-02095 (Jenkins v. 3M Co., et al.)

18-cv-02117 (Banks v. 3M Co., et al.)

18-cv-02211 (Rhodes v. 3M Co., et al.)

18-cv-02220 (Torrez v. 3M Co., et al.)

18-cv-02394 (Block v. 3M Co., et al.)

18-cv-02509 (Guillory v. 3M Co., et al.)

MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' EIGHTEENTH MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH PRETRIAL ORDER NO. 14

Pursuant to the Court's Pretrial Order No. 14 ("PTO 14"), entered September 27,

2016, Defendants 3M Co. and Arizant Healthcare Inc. (collectively, "Defendants")

respectfully move the Court to dismiss the following plaintiffs' cases for failure to comply with PTO 14:

Case Number	Plaintiff	Firm Name
0:17-cv-00088-JNE-DTS	Malinski	Gustafson Gluek PLLC
0:18-cv-00220-JNE-DTS	Brasher	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00575-JNE-DTS	Ballasso	Gustafson Gluek PLLC
0:18-cv-00852-JNE-DTS	Villafranco	The Law Offices of Travis R. Walker, P.A.
0:18-cv-01009-JNE-DTS	Woelfel	Brown Chiari LLP
0:18-cv-01031-JNE-DTS	Rhock	The Miller Firm, LLC
0:18-cv-01051-JNE-DTS	Johnson, Alvin	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, & Proctor, P.A.
0:18-cv-01103-JNE-DTS	Tedford	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, & Proctor, P.A.
0:18-cv-01167-JNE-DTS	Eans	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, & Proctor, P.A.
0:18-cv-01169-JNE-DTS	Gregory	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, & Proctor, P.A.
0:18-cv-01542-JNE-DTS	Davis	Schlichter, Bogard & Denton, LLP
0:18-cv-01654-JNE-DTS	Terrell	Morris Law Firm
0:18-cv-01722-JNE-DTS	Woodard	Pendley, Baudin & Coffin, L.L.P.
0:18-cv-01724-JNE-DTS	Cooper	Pendley, Baudin & Coffin, L.L.P.
0:18-cv-02014-JNE-DTS	Johnson, Sheri	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-02065-JNE-DTS	Sullivan	Kennedy Hodges, LLP
0:18-cv-02067-JNE-DTS	Norton	Meshbesher & Spence, Ltd.
0:18-cv-02080-JNE-DTS	McGuire	Kennedy Hodges, LLP
0:18-cv-02082-JNE-DTS	English	Kennedy Hodges, LLP
0:18-cv-02087-JNE-DTS	Dimesa	Napoli Shkolnik PLLC
0:18-cv-02095-JNE-DTS	Jenkins	Bachus & Schanker, LLC

0:18-cv-02117-JNE-DTS	Banks	The Miller Firm, LLC
0:18-cv-02211-JNE-DTS	Rhodes	Kennedy Hodges, LLP
0:18-cv-02220-JNE-DTS	Torrez	Kennedy Hodges, LLP
0:18-cv-02394-JNE-DTS	Block	The Law Offices of Travis R. Walker, P.A.
0:18-cv-02509-JNE-DTS	Guillory	The Olinde Firm, LLC

As set forth below, there are three (3) categories of cases where plaintiffs have failed to serve a Plaintiff Fact Sheet ("PFS") compliant with the requirements set forth by the Court in PTO 14: (a) cases where no PFS has been served by plaintiff; (b) cases where plaintiff served a PFS with core deficiencies, and failed to cure them or otherwise respond to one of Defendants' deficiency letters; and (c) cases where plaintiff served a PFS with core deficiencies, and failed to cure them after Defendants' final deficiency letter. Defendants placed the above cases on the agenda for two sequential Court status conferences, and dismissal with prejudice is therefore appropriate under PTO 14, ¶ 8.

ARGUMENT

The Court's Pretrial Order No. 14, entered September 27, 2016, sets forth the protocol for the form and service of the PFS, signed medical authorization, and supporting documents in cases filed in and transferred to this proceeding. Under PTO 14, a plaintiff whose case was pending on the date of the order was required to serve a completed and signed PFS, medical authorization, and supporting documents within 90 days of the date of the order. PTO 14, ¶ 2. For a plaintiff whose case was transferred after the date of the order, the due date for service of these same documents is 90 days after the filing of the

plaintiff's complaint or completion of the transfer to this proceeding, whichever is later. *Id.* All PFSs must be verified by the individual plaintiff under oath. *See* PTO 14, \P 3.

Once a PFS has been served, defendants have four (4) weeks from receipt within which to notify plaintiff's counsel of any core deficiencies to the PFS, "defined as a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4; or lack of signed medical authorizations." PTO 14, ¶ 4.

If defendants timely serve a letter outlining the deficiencies to the PFS, plaintiff's counsel is required to respond in writing within three (3) weeks of the date of service by: "(1) curing the alleged deficiencies; (2) disputing the alleged deficiencies and setting forth reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the alleged deficiencies cannot be timely cured." PTO 14, ¶ 6.

Cases where there are disputes concerning PFS deficiencies requiring the Court's attention may be placed on the Court's status conference agenda. PTO 14, \P 7. Should a case appear on the agenda for two (2) sequential status conferences, "Defendants may make a motion for dismissal for failure to comply with this Court's Pretrial Order as to the allegedly delinquent party." PTO 14, \P 8.

A. Cases Where No PFS Has Been Served

In this motion, Defendants seek dismissal of the following seven (7) cases where the plaintiff failed to serve the PFS as required by PTO 14 and, to date, remains delinquent:

Case Number	Plaintiff	Date Filed	PFS Due Date	Firm Name
0:18-cv-02067-JNE-DTS	Norton	7/20/2018	10/18/2018	Meshbesher & Spence, Ltd.
0:18-cv-02082-JNE-DTS	English	7/20/2018	10/18/2018	Kennedy Hodges, LLP
0:18-cv-02087-JNE-DTS	Dimesa	7/23/2018	10/21/2018	Napoli Shkolnik PLLC
0:18-cv-02095-JNE-DTS	Jenkins	7/23/2018	10/21/2018	Bachus & Schanker, LLC
0:18-cv-02117-JNE-DTS	Banks	7/24/2018	10/22/2018	The Miller Firm, LLC
0:18-cv-02394-JNE-DTS	Block	8/15/2018	11/13/2018	The Law Offices of Travis R. Walker, P.A.
0:18-cv-02509-JNE-DTS	Guillory	8/28/2018	11/26/2018	The Olinde Firm, LLC

B. Cases Where a PFS Was Served with Core Deficiencies, and Plaintiff Failed to Cure or Otherwise Respond to Defendants' Deficiency Letter

Additionally, Defendants are seeking dismissal of the following eleven (11) cases where: (1) plaintiffs each submitted a PFS that contained core deficiencies, (2) Defendants notified plaintiffs of the core deficiencies, and (3) plaintiffs failed to cure or otherwise respond to the Defendants' first and/or second deficiency letter:

Case Number	Plaintiff	Deficiency Letter Sent	Firm Name
0:17-cv-00088-JNE-DTS	Malinski	11/7/2018	Gustafson Gluek PLLC
0:18-cv-00220-JNE-DTS	Brasher	10/15/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP

¹See Declaration of Benjamin W. Hulse ("Hulse Decl."), Ex. A (Deficiency letters sent to Plaintiffs listed in Part B).

0:18-cv-01009-JNE-DTS	Woelfel	11/8/2018	Brown Chiari LLP
0:18-cv-01542-JNE-DTS	Davis	12/3/2018	Schlichter, Bogard & Denton, LLP
0:18-cv-01722-JNE-DTS	Woodard	10/1/2018	Pendley, Baudin & Coffin, L.L.P.
0:18-cv-01724-JNE-DTS	Cooper	10/15/2018	Pendley, Baudin & Coffin, L.L.P.
0:18-cv-02014-JNE-DTS	Johnson, Sheri	12/3/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-02065-JNE-DTS	Sullivan	11/20/2018	Kennedy Hodges, LLP
0:18-cv-02080-JNE-DTS	McGuire	11/15/2018	Kennedy Hodges, LLP
0:18-cv-02211-JNE-DTS	Rhodes	11/20/2018	Kennedy Hodges, LLP
0:18-cv-02220-JNE-DTS	Torrez	11/20/2018	Kennedy Hodges, LLP

To comply with PTO 14, each plaintiff was required to respond to Defendants' deficiency letter by either curing or disputing the deficiencies, or explaining why the deficiencies could not be cured. *See* PTO 14, ¶ 6. Plaintiffs above failed to respond to Defendants' deficiency letters, and numerous core deficiencies remain uncured. *See attached* Addendum 1 (outlining PFS core deficiencies for each plaintiff in Part B).

C. Cases Where a PFS Was Served with Core Deficiencies, and Plaintiff Failed to Cure Following Defendants' Final Deficiency Letter

Lastly, Defendants are seeking dismissal of the following eight (8) cases where plaintiffs were served a final deficiency notice in response to a PFS or amended PFS and failed to cure the core deficiencies identified in Defendants' final deficiency letters.²

²See Hulse Decl., Ex. B (Final Deficiency Letters Sent to Plaintiffs Listed in Part C).

Case Number	Plaintiff	Final Deficiency Letter Sent	Firm Name
0:18-cv-00575-JNE-DTS	Ballasso	6/27/2018	Gustafson Gluek PLLC
0:18-cv-00852-JNE-DTS	Villafranco	8/7/2018	The Law Offices of Travis R. Walker, P.A.
0:18-cv-01031-JNE-DTS	Rhock	11/15/2018	The Miller Firm, LLC
0:18-cv-01051-JNE-DTS	Johnson, Alvin	11/15/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, & Proctor, P.A.
0:18-cv-01103-JNE-DTS	Tedford	8/17/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, & Proctor, P.A.
0:18-cv-01167-JNE-DTS	Eans	8/24/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, & Proctor, P.A.
0:18-cv-01169-JNE-DTS	Gregory	12/3/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, & Proctor, P.A.
0:18-cv-01654-JNE-DTS	Terrell	10/11/2018	Morris Law Firm

Defendants sent each plaintiff above a final deficiency letter providing notice of the outstanding core deficiencies in his or her PFS, and to date the core deficiencies have not been cured. *See attached* Addendum 2 (outlining uncured core deficiencies remaining for each plaintiff in Part C). Plaintiffs' counsel in *Tedford* (18-cv-01103), and *Eans* (18-cv-01167) responded to Defendants' deficiency notice with a letter stating: "Deficiencies cannot be cured at this time as we have not been able to contact client but will continue to make a diligent effort to do so." See Hulse Decl., Ex. C (correspondence from Plaintiffs' counsel in response to Defendants' deficiency letters). Implicit in this response is Plaintiff's

counsel's recognition that core deficiencies remain uncured for these Plaintiffs. Now that several months have passed since the Defendants' final deficiency letters were sent, dismissal of these cases is warranted.

D. All Cases Were on the Agenda for Two (2) Sequential Status Conferences

Defendants placed before the Court the cases set forth above in Parts A, B, and C, for two sequential Court status conferences.³ Consistent with the Court's recent orders dismissing with prejudice several plaintiffs' cases for failure to comply with PTO 14, the above cases are ripe for dismissal with prejudice under PTO 14, ¶ 8.

E. The Court Should Dismiss Plaintiffs' Cases with Prejudice

The Court's authority to dismiss these cases with prejudice is beyond question, and indeed was exercised by the Court recently in its orders entered May 1, 2018 [Dkt. No. 1237], May 22, 2018 [Dkt. No. 1257], June 20, 2018 [Dkt. No. 1298], July 24, 2018 [Dkt. No. 1376], August 20, 2018 [Dkt. No. 1431], and October 23, 2018 [Dkt. No. 1564], November 20, 2018 [Dkt. No. 1609], and December 21, 2018 [Dkt. No. 1653] (dismissing with prejudice multiple plaintiffs' cases for failure to comply with PTO 14). The Court has made clear that "[e]ven for failures to respond, noncompliance with a court order can 'constitute[] a pattern of intentional delay meriting dismissal with prejudice' under Rule 41(b)." *See* Order (Dkt. No. 862) at 2 (internal citation omitted). The Court further

³ See Hulse Decl., Ex. D (January 11, 2019 email from B. Hulse to Court attaching Defendants' January PFS Lists 1, 2, and 3) and Ex. E (February 15, 2019 email from B. Hulse to Court attaching Defendants' February PFS Lists 1, 2, and 3). While no status conferences were held in January or February, these PFS disputes were deemed addressed to the Court for purposes of PTO 14, ¶ 7. See Dkt. No. 1651.

explained that PTO 14 required plaintiffs to respond to requests for information in the PFS in lieu of discovery, provided plaintiffs with the opportunity to cure any deficiencies, and "warned expressly of dismissal" should plaintiffs fail to comply. *Id.* Plaintiffs were also warned through the Court's prior orders dismissing with prejudice cases for failure to comply with PTO 14. *Id.* Accordingly, "if PTO 14's opportunity to cure has run, a plaintiff's continued noncompliance is a pattern of intentional delay" warranting dismissal with prejudice. *Id.*

Plaintiffs identified above have failed to comply with PTO 14 by either failing to submit the required PFS (Part A cases), providing no response to Defendants' deficiency letters (Part B cases), or failing to cure deficiencies after service of Defendants' final deficiency letter (Part C cases). Because all the cases identified above in Parts A, B, and C were listed on at least two sequential status conference agendas, "the opportunity to cure has run." Accordingly, dismissal of these cases with prejudice is proper and appropriate.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Defendants respectfully request that the Court dismiss the cases addressed in this Memorandum with prejudice.

Dated: March 5, 2019 Respectfully submitted,

s/Benjamin W. Hulse

Jerry W. Blackwell (MN #186867) Benjamin W. Hulse (MN #0390952) Mary S. Young (MN #0392781) BLACKWELL BURKE P.A. 431 South Seventh Street, Suite 2500 Minneapolis, MN 55415

Phone: (612) 343-3200 Fax: (612) 343-3205

Email: blackwell@blackwellburke.com bhulse@blackwellburke.com myoung@blackwellburke.com

Bridget M. Ahmann (MN #016611x) FAEGRE BAKER DANIELS LLP 2200 Wells Fargo Center 90 South Seventh Street Minneapolis, MN 55402 Phone: (612) 766-7000

Email: bridget.ahmann@faegrebd.com

Counsel for Defendants 3M Company and Arizant Healthcare Inc.

Addendum 1 Uncured PFS Core Deficiencies for Cases Listed in Part B

Plaintiff/Case Number	Deficiency Letter Sent	Uncured PFS Core Deficiencies	Firm Name
Malinski, Michael 0:17-cv-00088	11/7/2018	Section II (Personal Information) Part 10: Provides employers for last 10 years, but no response to employer addresses and for start of employment for one employer.	Gustafson Gluek PLLC
		Section VI (Current Claim Information) Part 3: No date of onset for emotional distress/psychological injury. Responds only "after surgery."	
Brasher, Michael 0:18-cv-00220	10/15/2018	Section II (Personal Information) Part 2: No response to driver's license state of issue. Part 14: Indicates visiting websites, but does not identify the websites visited, responds only "advertising websites." Section III (Surgery Information) Part 1: Indicates having information that a Bair Hugger system was used, but describes that information only as "Medical Records indicating Bair Hugger usage," without identifying the records or language indicating use.	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
Woelfel, Rosemarie 0:18-cv-01009	11/8/2018	Section II (Personal Information) Part 2: No response to driver's license number. Section III (Surgery Information) Part 1: Indicates having information that a Bair Hugger system was used, but describes that information only as "Medical records from surgery of	Brown Chiari LLP

		12/17/14," without identifying the records or language indicating use.	
Davis, Harry 0:18-cv-01542	12/3/2018	Section II (Personal Information) Part 7: Indicates being married but does not provide dates of marriage. Part 8: Indicates having children, but does not provide years of birth for children. Medical Authorization: Not provided. Verification: Not provided	Schlichter, Bogard & Denton, LLP
Woodard, George 0:18-cv-01722	10/1/2018	Section III (Surgery Information) Part 1: Indicates having information that a Bair Hugger system was used, but describes that information only as "Documents produced in discovery by defense which show Bair Hugger was utilized at facility at which plaintiff underwent orthopedic surgery," without identifying any records or other information that indicates use in Plaintiff's surgery. Section VI (Current Claim Information) Part 3: No date of onset for emotional distress/psychological injury.	Pendley, Baudin & Coffin, L.L.P.
Cooper, Roy 0:18-cv-01724	10/15/2018	Section VI (Current Claim Information) Part 3: No date of onset for emotional distress/psychological injury.	Pendley, Baudin & Coffin, L.L.P.
Johnson, Sheri 0:18-cv-02014	12/3/2018	Section I (Case Information) Part 1: No response to person completing form. Part 4: No response to any question related to person completing form in representative capacity. Section II (Personal Information) Part 1: Name, address, and SSN provided, to length of time at present address and persons living with plaintiff	Bernstein Liebhard LLP

		at time of events at issue, but no response to dates of use for other names used. Section III (Surgery Information) Part 1: Indicates having information that a Bair Hugger system was used, but describes that information only as "Operative reports indicating Bair Hugger usage," without identifying specific records or language indicating use.	
Sullivan, Jeffrey 0:18-cv-02065	11/20/2018	Part 1: Name, address, and SSN provided, but no response to length of time at present address, other names used, and persons living with plaintiff at time of events at issue. Part 2: No response to driver's license number and state of issue. Part 3: Date of birth provided but not place of birth. Part 5: No response to Medicare HICN number. Part 6: Provides single residence, but no dates of residence. Part 8: No response to having children. Part 9: No response to educational background. Part 10: No response to employers for last 10 years. Part 11: No response to prior military service. Part 12: No response to rejection for military service due to medical/physical conditions. Part 13: No response to visiting websites regarding patient warming systems. Part 15: No response to internet postings concerning Defendants.	Kennedy Hodges, LLP

Part 16: No response to possession of drawings journals, slides, notes, letters or emails.

Section III (Surgery Information)

Part 3: Hospital name, surgery date/location/ physician, type of surgery, reason for surgery, infections prior to surgery, persons with whom plaintiff discussed risks of surgery, medical conditions at time of surgery, and cause of infection provided, but no response to height/weight at time of surgery.

<u>Section IV (General Medical Information)</u>

Part 1: No response to current or last height/weight.

Part 7: No response to pharmacies used.

Part 8: No response to prior dental visits.

Part 9: No response to use of tobacco.

Part 10: No response to treatment for drugs/alcohol.

Section V (Insurance/Claim Information)

Part 4: No response to prior disability claims.

Part 5: No response to prior lawsuits.

Part 6: No response to prior bankruptcies.

Section VI (Current Claim Information)

Part 1: Indicates having suffered physical/bodily injury related to use of Bair Hugger, but no response to any subsection related to physical injury. Part 3: No response to damages related to emotional distress/psychological injuries.

	T	D (C)	
		Part 6: No response regarding Bair	
		Hugger warnings.	
		Part 7: No response regarding	
		3M/Arizant communications regarding	
		surgery/Bair Hugger.	
		Part 8: No response regarding	
		3M/Arizant communication regarding	
		Bair Hugger warranty.	
		Part 9: No response to contacts with	
		Augustine Temperature Management.	
		Section VII (Economic Damages)	
		Part 1: No response to claim for loss of	
		past wages/income.	
		Part 2: No response to claim for loss of	
		future wages/income.	
		S	
		Section VIII (Persons With	
		Knowledge)	
		Part 2: No response to persons providing	
		facts/circumstances relating this lawsuit.	
		Section IX (Loss of Consortium)	
		No response to any question	
		Madical Authorization, Not provided	
		Medical Authorization: Not provided. Verification: Not provided	
McCuine Monie	11/15/2018	•	Vannady
McGuire, Maria 0:18-cv-02080	11/15/2018	Section I (Case Information)	Kennedy
0.18-67-02080		Part 4: Indicates questionnaire is being	Hodges, LLP
		filled out in a representative capacity,	LLI
		but no response to Court that appointed	
		representative, or date of appointment.	
		Section II (Personal Information)	
		Part 7: Indicates being married, but no	
		response to spouse's DOB or date	
		marriage began.	
		Section III (Surgery Information)	
		Part 1: Indicates having information that	
		a Bair Hugger system was used, but then	
		says "See medical records uploaded	
1	1	from CHI St. Vincent-Hot Springs,"	

		without identifying specific records or language indicating use. Part 2: No response to use of laminar air flow system during surgery. Part 3: Hospital name, surgery date/location, type of surgery, reason for surgery, height/weight at time of surgery, infections prior to surgery, and cause of infection provided, medical conditions at time of surgery, but no response to persons with whom plaintiff discussed risks of surgery, and physician performing surgery.	
		Section VI (Current Claim Information) Part 1: Indicates having suffered physical/bodily injury related to use of Bair Hugger, and provides symptoms, description of injury, and lost activities, but does not respond to approximate date claimant learned of injury. Part 3: No Approximate date of onset for emotional distress/psychological injuries.	
Rhodes, Sarah 0:18-cv-02211	11/20/2018	Section II (Personal Information) Part 1: Name, address, and SSN provided, to length of time at present address and persons living with plaintiff at time of events at issue, but no response to dates of use for other names used.	Kennedy Hodges, LLP
		Section III (Surgery Information) Part 1: Indicates having information that a Bair Hugger system was used, but describes that information only as "See medical records from Garret County Memorial Hospital," without identifying specific records or language indicating use.	

		Verification: Improper verification executed before lawsuit was filed and six months before PFS was served.	
Torrez, Macario 0:18-cv-02220	11/20/2018	Section III (Surgery Information) Part 1: Indicates having information that a Bair Hugger system was used, but describes that information only as "See medical records from Alta Vista Regional Hospital," without identifying specific records or language indicating use.	Kennedy Hodges, LLP
		Verification: Improper verification executed before lawsuit was filed and more than three months before PFS was served.	

Addendum 2
Uncured PFS Core Deficiencies for Cases Listed in Part C

Plaintiff/Case Number	Final Deficiency Letter Sent	Uncured PFS Core Deficiencies	Firm Name
Ballasso, Marilyn 0:18-cv-00575	6/27/2018	Section II (Personal Information) Part 7: Indicates marriage, but no response to when marriage ended. Part 8: No response to year of birth for any children. Part 9: Missing dates of attendance for college.	Gustafson Gluek PLLC
		Section III (Surgery Information) Part 3: Hospital name, surgery date/location/ physician, type of surgery, reason for surgery, infections prior to surgery, persons with whom plaintiff discussed risks of surgery, height/weight at time of surgery, and medical conditions at time of surgery. but responds "N/A" to cause of infection.	
		Section IX (Loss of Consortium) No response to any question	
		Medical Authorization: Not provided.	
Villafranco, Leonard 0:18-cv-00852	8/7/2018	Verification: Improper verification provided. Reused original verification.	The Law Offices of Travis R. Walker, P.A.
Rhock, Leonard 0:18-cv-01031	11/15/2018	Section III (Surgery Information) Part 1: Indicates having information that a Bair Hugger system was used, but describes that information only as "Medical records from attorney states a machine Bair Hugger was during	The Miller Firm, LLC

		my surgery dated 3/3/2015," without identifying the records or language indicating use. Section VII (Economic Damages) Part 1: Indicates lost past wages but does not provide wages for three years prior to injury. Verification: Improper verification	
Johnson, Alvin 0:18-cv-01051	11/15/2018	Section II (Personal Information) Part 1: Name, and address provided, but no response to SSN, other names used, length of time at present address and persons living with plaintiff at time of events at issue. Part 2: No response to driver's license number and state of issue. Part 3: Date of birth provided but not place of birth. Part 5: No response to Medicare HICN number. Part 6: No response to residences for the last 10 years. Part 8: No response to having children. Part 9: No response to educational background. Part 10: No response to employers for last 10 years. Part 11: No response to prior military service. Part 12: No response to rejection for military service due to medical/physical conditions. Part 13: No response to convictions in the last 10 years Part 14: No response to visiting websites regarding patient warming systems. Part 15: No response to internet postings concerning Defendants.	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, & Proctor, P.A.

Part 16: No response to possession of drawings journals, slides, notes, letters or emails.

Section III (Surgery Information)

Part 1: No response to evidence of Bair Hugger use.

Part 2: No response to use of laminar air flow system during surgery.

Part 3: Hospital name, surgery date/location/ physician, type of surgery, reason for surgery, infections prior to surgery, persons with whom plaintiff discussed risks of surgery, and cause of infection provided, but no response to height/weight at time of surgery and medical conditions at time of surgery.

Part 4: No response to opinions on causation.

Part 5: No response to tests or inspections of Bair Hugger.

<u>Section IV (General Medical Information)</u>

Part 1: No response to current or last height/weight.

Part 3: No response to healthcare providers seven (7) years prior to surgery.

Part 7: No response to pharmacies used.

Part 8: No response to prior dental visits.

Part 9: No response to use of tobacco. Part 10: No response to treatment for drugs/alcohol.

<u>Section V (Insurance/Claim</u> <u>Information)</u>

Part 4: No response to prior disability claims.

Part 5: No response to prior lawsuits.

		Part 6: No response to prior	
		bankruptcies.	
		builki apteres.	
		Section VI (Current Claim	
		Information)	
		Part 1: No response to having suffered	
		physical/bodily injury related to use of	
		Bair Hugger.	
		Part 3: No response to damages	
		related to emotional	
		distress/psychological injuries.	
		Part 6: No response regarding Bair	
		Hugger warnings.	
		Part 7: No response regarding	
		3M/Arizant communications	
		regarding surgery/Bair Hugger.	
		Part 8: No response regarding	
		3M/Arizant communication regarding	
		Bair Hugger warranty.	
		Part 9: No response to contacts with	
		Augustine Temperature Management.	
		Augustine Temperature Management.	
		Section VII (Economic Damages)	
		Part 1: No response to claim for loss	
		of past wages/income.	
		Part 2: No response to claim for loss	
		of future wages/income.	
		or ratare wages, meome.	
		Section VIII (Persons With	
		Knowledge)	
		Part 2: No response to persons	
		providing facts/circumstances relating	
		this lawsuit.	
		tills lawsuit.	
		Section IX (Loss of Consortium)	
		No response to any question	
		110 response to any question	
		Medical Authorization: Not	
		provided.	
		Verification: Not provided	
Tadford Louise	8/17/2018	-	Lavin
Tedford, Louise 0:18-cv-01103	0/1//2018	Section I (Case Information) Part 1: No response to person	Levin,
0.10-00-01103		Part 1: No response to person	Papantonio,
		completing form.	

Part 4: No response to questions relating to person completing PFS in representative capacity.

Section II (Personal Information)

Part 1: Name, address, and SSN provided, but no response to other names used, length of time at present address and persons living with plaintiff at time of events at issue.

Part 2: No response to driver's license number and state of issue.

Part 3: Date of birth provided but not place of birth.

Part 5: No response to Medicare HICN number.

Part 6: No response to residences for the last 10 years.

Part 8: No response to having children.

Part 9: No response to educational background.

Part 10: No response to employers for last 10 years.

Part 11: No response to prior military service.

Part 12: No response to rejection for military service due to medical/physical conditions.

Part 13: No response to convictions in the last 10 years

Part 14: No response to visiting websites regarding patient warming systems.

Part 15: No response to internet postings concerning Defendants.

Part 16: No response to possession of drawings journals, slides, notes, letters or emails.

Section III (Surgery Information)

Part 1: No response to evidence of Bair Hugger use.

Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, & Proctor, P.A. Part 2: No response to use of laminar air flow system during surgery.

Part 3: Hospital name, surgery date/location/ physician, type of surgery, reason for surgery, infections prior to surgery, persons with whom plaintiff discussed risks of surgery, and cause of infection provided, but no response to height/weight at time of surgery and medical conditions at time of surgery.

Part 4: No response to opinions on causation.

Part 5: No response to tests or inspections of Bair Hugger.

Section IV (General Medical Information)

Part 1: No response to current or last height/weight.

Part 3: No response to healthcare providers seven (7) years prior to surgery.

Part 7: No response to pharmacies used

Part 8: No response to prior dental visits.

Part 9: No response to use of tobacco. Part 10: No response to treatment for drugs/alcohol.

<u>Section V (Insurance/Claim</u> Information)

Part 4: No response to prior disability claims.

Part 5: No response to prior lawsuits.

Part 6: No response to prior bankruptcies.

Section VI (Current Claim Information)

1		·	
		Part 1: No response to having suffered physical/bodily injury related to use of Bair Hugger. Part 3: No response to damages related to emotional distress/psychological injuries. Part 6: No response regarding Bair Hugger warnings. Part 7: No response regarding 3M/Arizant communications regarding surgery/Bair Hugger. Part 8: No response regarding 3M/Arizant communication regarding Bair Hugger warranty. Part 9: No response to contacts with Augustine Temperature Management. Section VII (Economic Damages) Part 1: No response to claim for loss of past wages/income. Part 2: No response to claim for loss of future wages/income.	
		Section VIII (Persons With Knowledge)	
		Part 2: No response to persons	
		providing facts/circumstances relating this lawsuit.	
		Section IX (Loss of Consortium)	
		No response to any question	
		Medical Authorization: Not	
		provided.	
Eans, James	8/24/2018	Verification: Not provided Section II (Personal Information)	Lavin
0:18-cv-01167	6/24/2018	Part 1: Name, address, persons living with plaintiff at time of events at issue, and SSN provided, but no response to other names used, and length of time at present address.	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, & Proctor, P.A.
		Part 2: No response to driver's license number and state of issue.	2 100001, 1 11 11

Part 3: Date of birth provided but not place of birth.

Part 6: No response to residences for the last 10 years.

Part 7: Indicates being married, but no repose to spouse's DOB or dates of marriage.

Part 8: No response to having children.

Part 9: No response to educational background.

Part 10: No response to employers for last 10 years.

Part 11: No response to prior military service.

Part 12: No response to rejection for military service due to medical/physical conditions.

Part 13: No response to convictions in the last 10 years

Section IV (General Medical Information)

Part 1: No response to current or last height/weight.

Part 7: No response to pharmacies used.

<u>Section V (Insurance/Claim Information)</u>

Part 4: No response to prior disability claims.

Part 5: No response to prior lawsuits.

Part 6: No response to prior bankruptcies.

<u>Section VI (Current Claim</u> <u>Information)</u>

Part 1: Indicates having suffered physical/bodily injury related to use of Bair Hugger, but responds only "Unknown at this time; Discovery is ongoing" for loss of activities.

Gregory, Janice 0:18-cv-01169	12/3/2018	Section VII (Economic Damages) Part 1: No response to claim for loss of past wages/income. Part 2: No response to claim for loss of future wages/income. Section VIII (Persons With Knowledge) Part 2: No response to persons providing facts/circumstances relating this lawsuit. Section IX (Loss of Consortium) No response to any question Medical Authorization: Not provided. Verification: Not provided Section II (Personal Information) Part 1: Name, address, and SSN provided, but no response to other names used, length of time at present address and persons living with plaintiff at time of events at issue. Part 2: No response to driver's license number and state of issue. Part 3: Date of birth provided but not place of birth. Part 8: No response to having children. Part 9: No response to educational background. Part 10: No response to employers for last 10 years. Part 11: No response to prior military service. Part 12: No response to rejection for	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, & Proctor, P.A.
		last 10 years. Part 11: No response to prior military	

Part 14: No response to visiting websites regarding patient warming systems.

Part 15: No response to internet postings concerning Defendants. Part 16: No response to possession of drawings journals, slides, notes, letters or emails.

Section III (Surgery Information)

Part 1: No response to evidence of Bair Hugger use.

<u>Section IV (General Medical Information)</u>

Part 1: No response to current or last height/weight.

Part 7: No response to pharmacies used.

Part 8: No response to prior dental visits.

Part 9: No response to use of tobacco. Part 10: No response to treatment for drugs/alcohol.

Section V (Insurance/Claim Information)

Part 4: No response to prior disability claims.

Part 5: No response to prior lawsuits.

Part 6: No response to prior bankruptcies.

Section VI (Current Claim Information)

Part 1: Indicates having suffered physical/bodily injury related to use of Bair Hugger, but responds only "Unknown at this time; Discovery is ongoing" for loss of activities and other physical harm.

Section VII (Economic Damages)

		Part 1: No response to claim for loss of past wages/income.	
		Part 2: No response to claim for loss	
		of future wages/income.	
		Section VIII (Persons With	
		Knowledge)	
		Part 2: No response to persons	
		providing facts/circumstances relating	
		this lawsuit.	
		Section IX (Loss of Consortium)	
		No response to any question	
		Medical Authorization: Not	
		provided.	
		Verification: Not provided	
Terrell, Elizabeth	10/11/2018	Section III (Surgery Information)	Morris Law
0:18-cv-01654		Part 1: Indicates having information	Firm
		that a Bair Hugger system was used,	
		but describes that information only as	
		"I was given a pair of purple gripper	
		socks with the words 'Bair	
		Hugger' on them.," without	
		identifying any records that indicate a	
		Bair Hugger system was used.	
		Verification: Improper verification	
		provided. Reused original verification.	